

Planning Team Report

| Proposal Title : | e : The Hills LEP 2012 – To rezone part of 153 Boundary Road, Box Hill from R3 Medium Density Residential to SP2 Infrastructure | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|
| Proposal Summary : | Lot 10 DP 593517) from R3 Med System) to facilitate the develop | The intention of the planning proposal is to rezone part of 153 Boundary Road, Box Hill (Part Lot 10 DP 593517) from R3 Medium Density Residential to SP2 Infrastructure (Sewerage System) to facilitate the development of a water recycling facility under the Water Industry Competition Act 2006, to provide a sewage treatment and recycled water facility for the Box Hill North Precinct. | |
| PP Number : | PP_2016_THILL_003_00 | Dop File No : | 16/05269 |
| Proposal Details | | | |
| Date Planning Proposal Received : | 04-May-2016 | LGA covered : | The Hills Shire |
| Region : | Metro(Parra) | RPA : | The Hills Shire Council |
| State Electorate : | | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning Proposal |
| LEP Type : | Spot Rezoning | | |
| Location Details | | | |
| Street : 153 | Boundary Road | | |
| Suburb : Box | x Hill City : | Castle Hill | Postcode : 2765 |
| Land Parcel : Par | rt Lot 10 DP 593517 | | |
| DoP Planning Offic | cer Contact Details | | |
| Contact Name : | Chris Browne | | |
| Contact Number : | 0298601507 | | |
| Contact Email : | chris.browne@planning.nsw.gov | /.au | |
| RPA Contact Detai | ils | | |
| Contact Name : | Brent Woodhams | | |
| Contact Number : | 0298430443 | | |
| Contact Email : | bwoodhams@thehills.nsw.gov.a | u | |
| DoP Project Manag | ger Contact Details | | |
| Contact Name : | Derryn John | | |
| Contact Number : | 0298601505 | | |
| Contact Email : | derryn.john@planning.nsw.gov.a | au | |
| | | | |

| Land Release Data | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| Growth Centre : | | Release Area Name : | |
| Regional / Sub Regional Strategy : | | Consistent with Strategy : | |
| MDP Number : | | Date of Release : | |
| Area of Release (Ha) | | Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) : | |
| No. of Lots : | 0 | No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : | 0 |
| Gross Floor Area : | 0 | No of Jobs Created : | 0 |
| The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : | Yes | | |
| If No, comment ; | To the best of the knowledge of the relation to communications and re Region West has not met with any been advised of any meetings be concerning this proposal. | neetings with Lobbyists has I y lobbyist in relation to this p | been complied with. Sydney roposal, nor has the Director |
| Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : | No | | |
| If Yes, comment : | The Department's Lobbyist Conta been no records of contact with I | | |
| Supporting notes | | | |
| Internal Supporting Notes : | The planning proposal is support • satisfies State and subregional delivery of infrastructure and new northwest greenfield area that is • will assist in converting land zo water and wastewater services and development commences; and • will supplement Sydney Water's Precinct. | metropolitan strategy objective v housing, by facilitating the objection zoned residential; ned residential into homes or re in place to service new deve | ves, which encourage the delivery of new homes in a n the ground by ensuring velopment before |
| | The intention of the planning pro- facility to provide a sewage treats Precinct. The facility includes two storage tanks, two 5 metre high r access road and pump shed. The Infrastructure so as to enable the Competition Act 2006 (WIC Act), Assessment Act 1979 (the EP&A development consent for the pro- The subject land is part lot of a la The Precinct adjoins the Box Hill Attachment A). The development | nent and recycled water facil p processing buildings, two 3 ecycled water storage tanks, proposal seeks to rezone the delivery of the proposed fac rather than via Part 4 of the E Act). The WIC Act removes th posed facility under Part 4 of arger site that forms part of th Precinct of the North West G application for the Box Hill N | ity for the Box Hill North 6.6 metre high recycled water recycled water pump shed, e subject site to SP2 illity via the Water Industry invironmental Planning and he requirement for the EP&A Act. Be Box Hill North Precinct. Growth Centre (Fig 1, lorth Masterplan was |
| | approved by the Sydney West Jo concept approval which did not a under single ownership by the pr | pprove physical works. The | majority of the Precinct is |

| | under separate ownership (Fig 2, Attachment A). Land subdivision and housing construction will occur through subsequent development applications. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| | Sydney Water was consulted on the Master Plan and advised that Sydney Water would provide for the drinking water and wastewater needs of the Precinct, but that Sydney Water's preferred strategy did not include a recycled water facility for the Precinct. The proposed infrastructure was not included in the Sydney Water Growth Plans or funding program, thereby requiring the delivery of any recycled water infrastructure to be developer funded. The intention is that Sydney Water will provide for the drinking water needs of the Box Hill North Precinct and that the proposed facility will provide for the sewage treatment and waste water demand. |
| | The proposal involves the installation and operation of a pressured sewer network, including the treatment plant and reticulation system, and the installation and operation of a recycled water reticulation system delivering non-potable water for the intended residential development for such uses as toilet flushing, washing machines, gardens, and car washing. The proposed facility will provide equivalent treatments (ET) for 4,100 residential dwellings, approximately 100 ET for ancillary facilities, such as retail, school and community uses, and 800 ET for the lots not controlled by the principal developer. |
| External Supporting Notes : | Council supports this planning proposal because it facilitates residential development of Box Hill North in a coordinated and efficient manner and, in doing so, achieves the site's highest and best use. The Box Hill North Precinct will provide a decentralised wastewater solution with capacity to service approximately 5,000 residential dwellings. |

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of the planning proposal is to amend The Hills LEP 2012 to rezone the subject site from R3 Medium Density Residential to SP2 Infrastructure to facilitate the development of a water recycling facility to a provide sewerage treatment and recycled water facility for the Box Hill North Precinct. The system will supplement Sydney Water's provision of drinking water to the Precinct.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal explains that an amendment to The Hills LEP 2012 will need to be made to: • amend the Land Zoning Map (Sheet LZN_005) to rezone Part Lot 10 DP 593517 from R3 Medium Density Residential to SP2 Infrastructure (Sewerage System).

Draft maps have been provided that clearly show the part of the lot that is proposed to be rezoned. This is considered adequate.

Council intend to amend The Hills Development Control Plan 2012 and exhibit the draft amendments with the planning proposal.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

| b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : | 2.3 Heritage Conservation |
|---|---|
| * May need the Director General's agreement | 3.1 Residential Zones |
| | 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney |
| | |

| Is the Director General' | s agreement required? N | 0 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes | | | |
| d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? | | SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997) | |
| e) List any other matters that need to be considered : | | | |
| Have inconsistencies with | items a), b) and d) being | adequately justified? Yes | |
| lf No, explain : | SEPP 33 ensures tha demonstrate that the pollution control, can 'potentially offensive operate without empl locality or on likely fu | bus and Offensive Industry t only those proposals which are suitably located, and able to y can be built and operated with an adequate level of safety and proceed. The proposed development is considered to be a industry' as it is an industrial development which, if it were to oying any measures to reduce or minimise its impact in the ature development, would emit a polluting discharge in a manner significant adverse impact. | |
| | proposal is seeking t | proposal for an industrial development requires consent. As the o rezone the subject site to SP2 so as to activate the WIC Act, which nent for development consent, SEPP 33 is not applicable. | |
| | proponent's applicat | Environmental Factors (REF) was submitted to IPART as part of the ton for a licence under the WIC Act. The REF concluded that the esent a significant risk of harm to the environment if mitigation to the appropriately. | |
| | The planning propos | al is consistent with all other SEPPs. | |
| | | e Conservation es that planning proposals facilitate the conservation of items of , particularly items of Aboriginal heritage. | |
| | (RPS Australia East, to occur beneath the by recent land uses a | es an Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Due Diligence Assessment Report December 2014), which concluded that Aboriginal objects are likely ground surface, that the subject area had been heavily modified and that the proposed works should proceed with caution. The commends that further consultation occur with the Deerubbin Local ncil. | |
| | | at the Gateway determination include a condition that the riginal Land Council be consulted. | |
| | contain a requiremer adequately serviced authority, have been | ntial Zones is that planning proposals that relate to residential zoned land it that residential development is not permitted until land is (or arrangements satisfactory to the Council, or other appropriate made to service it), and not contain provisions which will reduce ential density of the land. | |
| | which currently equa | o remove the residential development potential of the subject site, tes to 15 dwellings, in order to enable the delivery of residential emainder of the Box Hill North Precinct, which equates to dwellings. | |
| | The inconsistency w | th this Direction is considered to be minor and justified. | |

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal includes maps showing the proposed: - Land Zoning Map.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

 Comment :
 Whilst Council has not recommended a consultation period, given the nature of the

 planning proposal, a community consultation period of 28 days is considered adequate.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The Hills LEP 2012 was published on 5 October 2012. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

| Need for planning proposal : | The planning proposal is a suitable and efficient way to enable provision of a 'water recycling facility' at the Box Hill North, to support the delivery of residential development of the Precinct. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| | Under the provisions of The Hills LEP 2012 'water recycling facilities' are a type of 'sewerage system', which is not a permissible use in the current R3 Medium Density Residential zone. If The Hills Shire LEP 2012 were amended to make 'sewerage system' a permissible use, the facility would then constitute designated development under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (the Regulations). As designated development, the facility would require development consent under Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (the EP&A Act). |
| | Rezoning the site to SP2 Infrastructure permits the use on site and construction of a water recycling facility without the need for development consent under Part 4 of the EP&A Act, as it can then be progressed under the WIC Act. S106 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007 (SEPP (Infrastructure) enables sewage treatment plants or biosolids treatment facilities, water recycling facilities, and sewage reticulation systems to be developed by any person licensed under the WIC Act without consent on land in a prescribed zone. Clause 105 of SEPP (Infrastructure) lists SP2 Infrastructure zones as a prescribed zone. The proponent submitted an application for a licence to the Independent Pricing and Regulatory Tribunal (IPART) in September 2015 and the Minister for Lands and Water approved the licence on 18 May 2016. |

| Consistency with strategic planning framework : | The planning proposal is consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney. • Direction 1.11: Deliver Infrastructure; and Action 1.11.2: Secure Sydney's Water Supplies. The proposal facilitates the supply of recycled water to a district that is zoned for residential development, reducing the volume of water that would otherwise need to be delivered by Sydney Water. |
|---|---|
| | • Direction 2.1: Accelerate housing supply across Sydney; Action 2.1.1: Accelerate housing supply and local housing choices. The proposal facilitates the subdivision of land and the delivery of new housing in an area that is zoned for residential development. |
| | The planning proposal is consistent with the West Central Subregion strategy in A Plan for Growing Sydney. It facilitates coordinated infrastructure delivery to support Council's vision for the Box Hill North precinct, a new centre suitable for housing growth. |
| | The planning proposal is not the result of any Council studies, strategies or plans. However, it is consistent with the objectives of the WIC Act, which seeks to harness the innovation and investment potential of the private sector in the water and wastewater industries, and establish a licensing regime for private sector entrants to provide water industry infrastructure. |
| | The proposal is also consistent with Council's 2015 - 2016 Draft Hills Shire Plan (currently on public exhibition); and Strategic Planning Direction (adopted by Council on 10 June 2008). The objective of Key Direction R1.3 of the Strategic Planning Direction is to facilitate the timely delivery of planned residential development; Strategy 1.3.1 is to facilitate the uptake of residential development opportunities; and Strategy 1.3.2 is to promote timely delivery of infrastructure to support residential development. |
| Environmental social economic impacts : | Environmental: The planning proposal will not result in any impact on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats, given the site's agrarian character, consisting of cleared farmland to the south and a farm dam to the north. |
| | The proposal states that the proposed facility will utilise a pressured sewerage network, linked to a water recycling facility. A pressured system is a closed system and therefore, compared with a traditional system, the facility will: • not overflow during heavy rain or allow inflow of stormwater into the network; • be six to eight times smaller than a traditional gravity fed system, with a smaller footprint and a more cost effective scheme; • be less odorous; |
| | create a more secure, dual water supply; and be scalable to allow supply to increase with demand. |
| | Under the WIC Act, IPART make recommendations to the Minister for Lands and Water as to whether or not a Network Operator and Retail Supplier Licence should be granted and any conditions to which a licence would be subject. The environmental impacts of the future development are required to be assessed under Part 5 of the EP&A Act as, under the definition of 'consent' in SEPP (Infrastructure), development that does not require consent under Part 4 of the EP&A Act will be subject to environmental assessment under Part 5. A Review of Environmental Factors (REF) was submitted to IPART as part of the proponent's application for a licence under the WIC Act, to provide IPART and the NSW Minister for Lands and Water (two environmental assessment reports and accompanying specialist studies) with information on matters affecting, or likely to affect, the environment by the construction and operation of the proposed facility and reticulation system. |
| | The REF addressed potential impacts, during both construction and operation, from: • removal of vegetation; • potential for the identification of Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal heritage; • potential for erosion; |
| | potential for increase in noise; air quality and odour; |

| SP2 Infrastructure |
|---|
| increase in traffic movements; and potential for the generation of waste during the construction phase. |
| The proposal seeks to facilitate a sewage treatment and recycled water facility adjacent to land zoned R3 Medium Density Residential development, which could result in adverse amenity impacts on the surrounding future residential development by the potential emission of offensive noise and/or odours. |
| The planning proposal includes an Acoustic Assessment (Wilkinson Murray, May 2015), which assessed the proposal against noise criteria set out in the Environmental Protection Authority's (EPA) Interim Construction Noise Guideline (EPA, 2009), Road Noise Policy (EPA, 2011) and NSW Industrial Noise Policy (EPA, 2000). The Assessment: • concluded that predicted noise level from the facility would exceed the noise criteria at the nearest receivers; and • found that with appropriate mitigation the predicted noise levels from the plant comply with all criteria on all occasions at the nearest existing and future residential receivers, if various recommended treatments are implemented that relate to the construction of the facility. |
| The approved licence has been granted subject to a satisfactory assessment of the REF. However, as the Acoustic Assessment in the REF makes specific recommendations, it is recommended that the Gateway determination include a condition that the planning proposal be amended prior to exhibition to recognise any recommendations made in the REF (for example, recommendations made in the Acoustic Assessment by Wilkinson Murray). |
| The planning proposal also includes an Odour Assessment (Pacific Environment Limited, May 2015), which assessed the proposal against odour criteria set out in the EPA's Approved Methods and Guidance for the Modelling and Assessment of Air Pollutants in NSW (EPA, 2005). The Assessment concluded that predicted odour concentrations from the proposed facility would comply with the required criteria. This is considered to be acceptable. |
| Social: The proposed facility is intended to facilitate development of the Precinct (subdivision and built form) according to the approved master plan, via a series of development applications, to create a highly urbanised environment. The master plan identifies a road along the riparian corridor adjoining the north and west of the subject site. It does not identify a water recycling facility at the subject site. This could potentially lead to land use conflicts between the proposed facility and future residential development to the east and south. |
| The planning proposal has recommended that, in order to manage the perception of reduced amenity and to facilitate the efficient movement of traffic around the proposed facility, an alternative lot layout to that approved under the master plan would be required. This would include a road along the eastern boundary of the subject site, with a road reserve width of approximately 15 metres (Fig 3, Attachment A). |
| In order to ensure that a road is provided along the eastern boundary of the site, Council intend to amend The Hills Development Control Plan 2012 (DCP), Part D Section 17 Box Hill North, to include a new section 3.8: |
| 3.8 Residential Development Adjoining Water Recycling Facilities Objectives (i) To ensure that the amenity of residents, adjoining water recycling facilities, is appropriately maintained. Controls (a) Residential lots shall be at least 15 metres from the boundary of any sewage treatment |
| facility or water recycling facility. This will require a distance of at least 15 metres from the boundary of the site to any |

This will require a distance of at least 15 metres from the boundary of the site to any

residential boundary within the vicinity of the site and ensure that as the land around the site is developed, appropriate separation will be incorporated within the subdivision layout. Council intend to exhibit the proposed amended DCP with the planning proposal. It is recommended that the Gateway determination include a condition that the draft DCP be exhibited with the planning proposal.

Economic:

As a majority of the Precinct is under single ownership by the principal developer, with six lots under separate ownership (Tab B), the options available for servicing those fragmented lots are limited, as there would be a significant cost differential between sewer services provided by the proposed facility or by Sydney Water. If the principal developer's land is serviced by the proposed facility, it is unlikely that the landowners of the six remaining lots would elect to seek sewer services through Sydney Water, as the cost of providing the infrastructure would be prohibitive. However, the proposed facility provides allowance for this contingency, having a capacity to service 800 equivalent treatments for the lots not controlled by the principal developer. This is considered adequate.

Assessment Process

| Proposal type : | Routine | | Community Consultation Period : | 28 Days | | |
|---|---|-----|------------------------------------|---------|------------|--|
| Timeframe to make LEP : | 9 months | | Delegation : | RPA | | |
| Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) | Integral Energy Sydney Water Other | | | | | |
| ls Public Hearing by the | PAC required? | No | | | | |
| (2)(a) Should the matter | proceed ? | Yes | | | | |
| If no, provide reasons : | | | | | | |
| Resubmission - s56(2)(b |): No | | | | | |
| If Yes, reasons : | | | | | | |
| Identify any additional st | Identify any additional studies, if required. : | | | | | |
| | Other - provide details below If Other, provide reasons : | | | | | |
| | It is recommended that the Gateway determination include a condition that the planning proposal be amended prior to exhibition to include the complete Review of Environmental Factors. | | | | | |
| Identify any internal con | sultations, if required : | | | | | |
| No internal consultatio | No internal consultation required | | | | | |
| is the provision and fund | Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No | | | | | |
| If Yes, reasons : | If Yes, reasons : | | | | | |
| Documents | | | | | | |
| Document File Name | | | DocumentType Nar | me | Is Public | |
| Covering letter.pdf 1. Planning Proposal.p | df | | Proposal Covering Proposal | Letter | Yes Yes | |

| | | the second se |
|---|----------|---|
| 2. Attachment C - Council Report and Minute - 15 March 2016.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 3. Attachment D - Proponent's Application.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| 4. Attachment E - Heritage Due Diligence | Proposal | Yes |
| Assessment.pdf | | |
| 5. Attachment F - Acoustic Assessment.pdf | Study | Yes |
| 6. Attachment G - Odour Assesment.pdf | Study | Yes |
| 7. Attachment H - Concept Stormwater Management | Proposal | Yes |
| Plan.pdf | | |
| 8. Attachment I - Concept Plans.pdf | Proposal | Yes |
| Attachment 1 - Information Checklist.pdf | Proposal | No |
| Attachment 4 - Evaluation Criteria for the Delegation of | Proposal | No |
| Plan Making Functions.pdf | | |

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

| S.117 directions: | 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney |
|--------------------------|--|
| Additional Information : | It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions: |
| | Prior to undertaking public exhibition, the planning proposal is to be amended to: include the complete Review of Environmental Factors (the two environmental assessment reports and accompanying specialist studies submitted to IPART with the application for a network operator's licence); |
| | recognise any recommendations made in the Review of Environmental Factors (for example, recommendations made in the Acoustic Assessment by Wilkinson Murray); and the draft Development Control Plan (new section 3.8) is to be exhibited with the planning proposal. |
| | 2. The planning proposal is to be publicly exhibited for a minimum of 28 days. |
| | 3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities: |
| | • Sydney Water |
| | • Endeavour Energy • Deerubbin Local Aboriginal Land Council |
| | 4. Zoning maps clearly identifying the subject site and showing both the current and proposed situation are to be exhibited with the planning proposal. Maps are to be consistent with the Department's Standard Technical Requirements for Spatial Datasets and Maps. |
| | 5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter. |
| | 6. The planning proposal be completed within 9 months of the Gateway Determination. |
| Supporting Reasons : | The planning proposal is supported with conditions because it: • satisfies State and subregional metropolitan strategy objectives, which encourage the delivery of infrastructure and new housing, by facilitating the delivery of new homes; and • will assist in converting land zoned residential into homes on the ground by ensuring water and wastewater services are in place to service future development; and • will supplement Sydney Water's provision of drinking water to the Precinct. |

| The Hills LEP 2012 –To rezone part of 153 Boundary Road, Box Hill from R3 Medium Density Residential to SP2 Infrastructure | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| Signature: | M. lag | |
| Printed Name: | MARTIN COOPER Date: 3/06/2016 | |

Attachment A



Fig 1 - North West Growth Centre and Box Hill North Precinct



Figure 13 Lots not controlled by the Principal Developer

Fig 2 - Lots controlled by the Principal developer

Attachment A



Fig 3 - Preliminary road layout



Fig 4 - Site Plan and Distances to Residentia Development